

Update on Hepatocellular carcinoma: pearls for primary care management

Jose E Rivera-Acosta MD, MSc. Assistant Professor, UPR, School of Medicine Transplant Hepatologist, Hospital Auxilio Mutuo

Objectives

- Pathogenesis of HCC
- New trends in epidemiology and surveillance
- Radiological assessment
- Resection and transplantation

Objectives

- Locoregional treatment
- Immunotherapy and systemic therapies
- HCC in the COVID-19 pandemic



Pathogenesis of HCC

- Clinical and pathological features defining HCC sub-groups
- Role of microbiota on HCC development.

Next generation sequencing and identification signaling pathways





Epidemiology and surveillance

- Sixth most common diagnosed cancer worldwide
- Fourth leading cause of death in the world
- Most cases occur in chronic liver disease, cirrhosis main risk factor
- Incidence expected to increase
 - Population growth
 - Aging

FIGURA 8: PRIMEROS DIEZ TIPOS DE CÁNCER: MORTALIDAD: PUERTO RICO, 2010-2014 FIGURE 8: TOP TEN CANCER SITES: MORTALITY: PUERTO RICO, 2010-2014

Hombres / Males (N = 14,848)	%
Próstata/Prostate	16.9
Pulmón y bronquios/Lung and bronchus	13.5
Colon y recto/Colon and rectum	13.0
Hígado y ducto biliar/Liver and bile duct	6.7
Páncreas/Pancreas	5.0
Estómago/Stomach	4.3
Leucemia/Leukemia	3.3
Cavidad oral y faringe/Oral cavity and pharynx	3.2
Linfoma no-Hodgkin/Non-Hodgkin Lympho- ma	3.1
Esófago/Esophagus	3.1
Otros sitios primarios/Other sites	27.9

Fuente de Datos: Archivo de Mortalidad provisto por el Registro Demográfico de Puerto Rico, octubre de 2016. (Data Source: Mortality Case File provided by the Demographic Registry of Puerto Rico, October, 2016.)

)(3	Mujeres / Females (N =11,694)	%
7	\mathbf{Y}		Mama/Breast	18.4
		(Colon y recto/Colon and rectum Pulmón y bronquios/Lung and bronchus	13.4 9.6
			Páncreas/Pancreas	6.0
	X		Hígado y ducto biliar/Liver and bile duct	4.6
	()		Cuerpo del útero, NOS/Corpus and ute- rus, NOS	4.4
			Ovario/Ovary	4.4
			Estómago/Stomach	3.8
		1	Leucemia/Leukemia	3.3
			Linfoma no-Hodgkin/Non-Hodgkin Lym- phoma	2.8
_		2	Otros sitios primarios/Other sites	29.5

Groups that will benefit from screening and surveillance

TABLE 1. PATIENTS AT THE HIGHEST RISK FOR HCC

Population Group

Surveillance benefit

Asian male hepatitis B carriers over age 40

Asian female hepatitis B carriers over age 50

Hepatitis B carrier with family history of HCC

African and/or North American blacks with hepatitis B

Hepatitis B carriers with cirrhosis

Hepatitis C cirrhosis

Stage 4 PBC

Genetic hemochromatosis and cirrhosis

Alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency and cirrhosis

Other cirrhosis

Surveillance benefit uncertain

Hepatitis B carriers younger than 40 (males) or 50 (females)Hepatitis C and stage 3 fibrosisNAFLD without cirrhosis

Abbreviation: LYG, life-years gained.

Threshold Incidence for Efficacy of Surveillance (>0.25 LYG; % per year)	Incidence of HCC
0.2	0.4%-0.6% per year
0.2	0.3%-0.6% per year
0.2	Incidence higher than without family history
0.2	HCC occurs at a younger age
0.2-1.5	3%-8% per year
1.5	3%-5% per year
1.5	3%-5% per year
1.5	Unknown, but probably >1.5% per year
1.5	Unknown, but probably >1.5% per year
1.5	Unknown
0.2	<0.2% per year
1.5	<1.5% per year
1.5	<1.5% per year



HCC screening and surveillance

- Abdominal US with or without AFP very 6 months
 - Low sensitivity on early stages

Surveillance effectiveness on cohorts (NASH, post SVR HCC)

Diagnostic algorithm for a liver nodule

Liver nodule in cirrhosis detected on ultrasonography







Figure 94-2. Dynamic computed tomography scan of a patient with hepatocellular carcinoma showing no lesion in the noncontrast phase, an enhancing lesion in the arterial phase of contrast administration, and a faint lesion in the portal venous phase seen better in the delayed phase.



Portal Venous Phase







CT/MRI LI-RADS[®] v2018 CORE

Untreated observation without pathologic proof in <u>patient at high risk for HCC</u>

If cannot be categorized due to image degradation or omission

If definite tumor in vein (TIV) -

If definitely benign -

If probably benign ·

Otherwise, use CT/MRI diagnostic table below

If intermediate probability of malignancy

If probably HCC ·

If definitely HCC



Treatment for HCC

- Resection
- Liver Transplant
- Locoregional therapies
- Systemic or targeted directed therapies

Liver transplant is the best treatment for HCC that is confined to the liver

Resection

- 10 year recurrence free survival 22-25%
- therapy alone
- Perioperative mortality 5%
- Liver decompensation beyond 3 months 10-12%

In selected patients benefits over systemic therapy and locoregional

- 10 year recurrence free survival 50-70%
- BCLC system
- Milan criteria for selection
 - Solitary <5 cm or up to 3 nodules each 3 cm
 - No macrovascular invasion or distant disease

Liver Transplant

- "Exception points"
- Mandatory 6 month waiting period
- Regional mean MELD at transplant
- Down-staging of lesion beyond Milan criteria has acceptable outcomes

Liver Transplant



Locoregional therapies

- Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE)
 - Most widely use intervention for intermediate stage
 - Median survival exceeds 40 months in selected cases
 - Considered palliative treatment
- Transarterial radioembolization (TARE)
 - Safe in patients with microvascular invasion
 - Cost!!



7.9x 7.6 cm lesion with arterial enhancement and washout at right hepatic lobe





e after TACE where a 3x2.3cm lesion is observed on segment VII consistent with pa



Immunotherapies and systemic therapies

Trial Name	Line of therapy	Active agent	Control	Primary end-point	Results
SHARP	First-line	Sorafenib	Placebo	OS	10.7vs7.9 HR 0.69 (95% CI 0.55-0.87)
REFLECT	First-line	Levatinib	Sorafenib	OS	13.6 vs 12.3 HR 0.92 (95% CI 0.79-1.06)
RESORCE	Second-line	Regorafenib	Placebo	OS	10.6 vs 7.8 HR 0.63 (95% CI 0.50-0.79)
CELESTIAL	Second- and third-line	Cabozantinib	Placebo	OS	10.2 vs 8.0 HR0.76 (95% CI 0.63-0.92)
REACH-2	Second-line and AFP>400 ng/mL	Ramucirumab	Placebo	OS	8.5 vs 7.3 HR 0.71 (95%CI 0.531-0.949)
Checkmate-440	Second-line	Nivolumab	None	ORR, OS, safety	17%, 15.0
KEYNOTE-224	Second-line	Pembrolizumab	None	ORR, OS, safety	17%, 12.9
KEYNOTE-240	Second-line	Pembrolizumab	Placebo	PFS, OS	PFS 3.0 vs 2.8 HR 0.718 (95%CI 0.570-0.904) OS 13.9 vs 10.6 HR 0.781 (95%CI 0.611-0.998)
Checkmate-459	First-line	Nivolumab	Sorafenib	OS	16.4 vs 14.7 HR 0.85 (95%CI 0.72-1.02)
IMbrave150	First-line	Atezolizumab + bevacizumab	Sorafenib	OS% 12 mo., PFS	PFS 6.8 vs 4.8 HR 0.59 (95%CI 0.47-0.76) OS 67.2% vs 54.6% (95%CI 45.2-64.0)



HCC and SARS-CoV-2

- 2
- pandemic
- risk of exposure
- Risk stratification of cases

Not available data that HCC as risk factor increase mortality of SARS-CoV-

 Worse outcomes of COVID-19 on patient with non-hepatic types of cancer Consensus is to not delay treatment on HCC patient due to COVID-19

Short delay on surveillance acceptable in circumstances or areas of high



Questions?